

Consensus Statement on State Policy Reform

Despite a growing need for mental health and addiction care, far too many Americans cannot access the services they need. Although there has been recent federal and state progress in addressing the capacity of the treatment system, there remain a multitude of barriers to the robust delivery of care. With growing national attention to the twin issues of mental health and criminal justice reform, the time is ripe to build support for key changes within states that could meaningfully change the course of individuals' lives.

The National Council for Behavioral Health worked with state partners in Connecticut, Illinois, Missouri, and Pennsylvania on a series of public forums that united diverse stakeholders at the state level to examine and advance policy reforms that leveraged states' existing behavioral health, primary care, and criminal justice reform initiatives.

This Consensus Statement summarizes the common themes that emerged from the state forums, the policy issues that were identified that require legislative or regulatory change, and the recommended policy changes at the state and/or national level that will help improve state residents' access to timely, high-quality mental health and addiction care.

Consensus Areas Identified by BHECON Participants

To strengthen the behavioral health safety-net, we urge policy makers to address these critical areas:

- 1) Focus on the nexus between the behavioral health and criminal justice systems by ensuring more access to comprehensive community-based care.
- 2) Strengthen the behavioral health workforce so that more patients have access to care
- 3) Invest in evidence-based behavioral health treatment

Policy Issues & Solutions

The Nexus between the Behavioral Health and Criminal Justice Systems

In many states, the criminal justice system is often the first point of contact for people experiencing a serious mental health crisis. The negative human and financial consequences of treating mental illness in this way are enormous. A shortage of programs for diverting people with mental illness from the criminal justice system into community-based mental health services, a lack of capacity within the treatment system, as well as a lack of re-entry programs for people with behavioral health and substance abuse disorders who require additional treatment in the community, and inadequate training of law enforcement officers in crisis response are among the issues that contribute to this situation and need to be addressed.

Traditionally, first responders are the ones who are typically called in to handle a situation in which a person experiencing a mental health crisis needs help. Due to the unpredictable behavior of the individual, he/she may be taken to jail or directly to an emergency room. If first responders are properly trained on how to handle a



person experiencing a mental health crisis and can help divert that individual to the correct level of treatment, experience with the criminal justice system could be completely avoided.

Forum participants identified several policy solutions related to this issue, including *mandating Mental Health First Aid Training* for all first responders and police officers while *requiring Crisis Intervention Training* for a select proportion of police officers, *providing better care coordination between the criminal justice system and community mental health centers* while the person in transition is still in the jail facility, and issuing guidelines that *promote the use and provide funding for long-acting injectable medications within the criminal justice system* to provide more stable care for people living with schizophrenia throughout the transition from jail to the community. These steps can help divert people with mental illness from the criminal justice system into community-based care where they would receive proper treatment for their disorder, or support them upon re-entry from incarceration to the community.

Strengthening the Behavioral Health Workforce

The problem of training, recruitment, and retention of an adequate number of behavioral health professionals to provide services for people with mental health and addictions disorders was an issue that emerged in every state. These providers include psychiatrists, psychologists, licensed clinical social workers, counselors, marriage and family therapists, and advanced practice nurses, case managers, community support workers, peers and others that specialize in mental health care.

To alleviate some of these workforce shortage issues, states might examine strategies such as continuing and/or expanding the *Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) demonstration program* established under the Excellence in Mental Health Act to help community mental health providers expand services and provide competitive salaries for behavioral health care workers, expanding the use of *telehealth and tele-psychiatry* within the Medicaid and Medicare programs to compensate for the shortage of psychologists and psychiatrists and increase access to services, expanding *the scope of practice for advanced-level practitioners* to include prescribing behavioral health medications as part of their certification, removing *restrictive regulations that require physicians to sign-off on behavioral health treatment plans* to reduce paperwork and enable psychiatrists to dedicate more of their time to treating people, promoting *greater reciprocity between states with respect to the licensure of behavioral health professionals* to make it easier to recruit for open positions across states lines and grow the pool of candidates, and requiring *Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) to accept the same credentialing for behavioral health care professionals* statewide as a way to standardize job definitions for behavioral health professionals and increase flexibility to provide care throughout the state.

Investing in Evidence-based Behavioral Health Treatment

Behavioral health conditions are among the costliest in the United States, accounting for \$201 billion in health spending in 2013. Data collected by the Schaeffer Center for Health Policy & Economics in each of the BHECON states show the serious human and financial impact that mental illness has on government and our health care and criminal justice systems. But to demonstrate the value of behavioral health services in reducing these impacts, behavioral health providers must make a case for the value of their services to payors, state policymakers, and others.



Unfortunately, current reporting requirements in some states measure quantity over quality, or volume over value. State-level policies that facilitate the *integration of behavioral and primary health care*, provide across-the-board *standardized definitions for behavioral treatments and services*, improve and streamline *reporting requirements to reflect effectiveness of care and treatment outcomes* and help highlight gaps in the system of care, *consolidate data collection* among payors, and provide funding for *electronic health record systems and interoperable IT systems* to collect and exchange standardized data, can help behavioral health providers improve care and produce better outcome-related data that show the economic and health-related impacts of their services. Additionally, providers can further help to make the case for value by *using data on the impact of mental illness on society to advocate for policy changes* that support the delivery of behavioral health care services to those who need them.

Conclusion

Each state faces a multitude of barriers to comprehensive service delivery for people living with mental illnesses or addictions; yet, many challenges are similar across disparate communities. The organizations represented here recognize that the policies reflected in this consensus statement are not exhaustive. Rather, they represent a starting point for additional dialogue at both the state and federal level on key legislative and regulatory changes needed to bolster our nation's community-based treatment system and expand access to care for every American in need.

Endorsing Organizations

















About BHECON

The Behavioral Health + Economics Network, known as BHECON (pronounced "beacon"), unites diverse stakeholders in a series of forums to examine and advance policy reforms to strengthen states' behavioral health delivery systems. Led by the National Council for Behavioral Health with state partners, BHECON participants include individuals and organizations recognized for their commitment to improving lives of people living with serious mental illness and incorporates representatives from the behavioral health, criminal justice, and public safety sectors, along with individuals working in the greater medical field. BHECON has partnered with academic research institutions to provide data and analysis specific to the topics presented at the forums and has curated national data in support of this effort.

Acknowledgment

The Behavioral Health + Economics Network is supported through a generous grant from Alkermes.